

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Not Currently Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

This determination represents Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) data received as of July 17, 2020. The following 29 jurisdictions have met their prorated Lower (Very-Low and Low) and Above-Moderate Income Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) for the Reporting Period and submitted their latest APR (2019).

These jurisdictions are not currently subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining), but the jurisdictions are still encouraged to promote streamlining. **All other cities and counties beyond these 29 are subject to at least some form of SB 35 streamlining, as indicated on the following pages.**

For more detail on the proration methodology or background data see the SB 35 Determination Methodology.

	JURISDICTION
1	ATHERTON
2	BELL
3	BEVERLY HILLS
4	CALISTOGA
5	CARPINTERIA
6	CORONADO
7	CORTE MADERA
8	EL CERRITO
9	FOSTER CITY
10	GUADALUPE
11	INDUSTRY
12	LAGUNA NIGUEL
13	LEMON GROVE
14	MENLO PARK
15	MILL VALLEY
16	MODOC COUNTY
17	MONTE SERENO
18	NEWPORT BEACH
19	ROHNERT PARK
20	SAINT HELENA
21	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
22	SANTA ANA
23	SANTA CLARA COUNTY
24	SANTA MONICA
25	SOLVANG
26	SONOMA COUNTY
27	UKIAH
28	WEST HOLLYWOOD
29	WOODSIDE

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary
Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions
When Proposed Developments Include ≥10% Affordability

These 289 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2019) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
1	ADELANTO	37	CALIPATRIA	73	ESCALON
2	ALAMEDA COUNTY	38	CARMEL	74	ESCONDIDO
3	ALHAMBRA	39	CARSON	75	ETNA
4	ALISO VIEJO	40	CATHEDRAL	76	EUREKA
5	ALPINE COUNTY	41	CERES	77	EXETER
6	ALTURAS	42	CHOWCHILLA	78	FAIRFAX
7	AMADOR	43	CITRUS HEIGHTS	79	FARMERSVILLE
8	ANGELS CAMP	44	CLAYTON	80	FERNDALE
9	APPLE VALLEY	45	CLEARLAKE	81	FILLMORE
10	ARCADIA	46	CLOVERDALE	82	FIREBAUGH
11	ARCATA	47	COACHELLA	83	FORT JONES
12	ARROYO GRANDE	48	COLFAX	84	FORTUNA
13	ARVIN	49	COLMA	85	FOUNTAIN VALLEY
14	ATWATER	50	COLTON	86	FRESNO COUNTY
15	AVALON	51	COLUSA	87	GARDEN GROVE
16	AVENAL	52	COLUSA COUNTY	88	GLENN COUNTY
17	AZUSA	53	COMMERCE	89	GONZALES
18	BAKERSFIELD	54	CONCORD	90	GRAND TERRACE
19	BANNING	55	CORCORAN	91	GRASS VALLEY
20	BARSTOW	56	CORNING	92	GREENFIELD
21	BEAUMONT	57	COVINA	93	GRIDLEY
22	BELL GARDENS	58	CRESCENT CITY	94	GUSTINE
23	BELVEDERE	59	CUDAHY	95	HALF MOON BAY
24	BENICIA	60	DEL NORTE COUNTY	96	HANFORD
25	BIGGS	61	DEL REY OAKS	97	HAWAIIAN GARDENS
26	BISHOP	62	DELANO	98	HAYWARD
27	BLUE LAKE	63	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	99	HEMET
28	BLYTHE	64	DORRIS	100	HERMOSA BEACH
29	BRADBURY	65	DOS PALOS	101	HESPERIA
30	BRAWLEY	66	DUARTE	102	HIDDEN HILLS
31	BURBANK	67	DUNSMUIR	103	HIGHLAND
32	BUTTE COUNTY	68	EAST PALO ALTO	104	HILLSBOROUGH
33	CALAVERAS COUNTY	69	EL CAJON	105	HOLTVILLE
34	CALEXICO	70	EL CENTRO	106	HUMBOLDT COUNTY
35	CALIFORNIA CITY	71	EL MONTE	107	HUNTINGTON BEACH
36	CALIMESA	72	EL SEGUNDO	108	HUNTINGTON PARK

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary
Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions
When Proposed Developments Include ≥10% Affordability

These 289 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest APR (2019) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
109	HURON	145	MADERA	181	PACIFICA
110	IMPERIAL	146	MADERA COUNTY	182	PALMDALE
111	IMPERIAL COUNTY	147	MARICOPA	183	PALOS VERDES ESTATES
112	INGLEWOOD	148	MARIPOSA COUNTY	184	PARADISE
113	INYO COUNTY	149	MARTINEZ	185	PARAMOUNT
114	IRWINDALE	150	MARYSVILLE	186	PARLIER
115	ISLETON	151	MAYWOOD	187	PASO ROBLES
116	JURUPA VALLEY	152	MCFARLAND	188	PATTERSON
117	KERMAN	153	MENDOTA	189	PICO RIVERA
118	KERN COUNTY	154	MENIFEE	190	PINOLE
119	KINGS COUNTY	155	MERCED COUNTY	191	PLEASANT HILL
120	KINGSBURG	156	MILLBRAE	192	POMONA
121	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	157	MODESTO	193	PORTERVILLE
122	LA MIRADA	158	MONTAGUE	194	PORTOLA
123	LA PUENTE	159	MONTCLAIR	195	POWAY
124	LA VERNE	160	MONTEBELLO	196	RED BLUFF
125	LAKE COUNTY	161	MONTEREY	197	REDLANDS
126	LAKE ELSINORE	162	MONTEREY PARK	198	REDONDO BEACH
127	LAKEPORT	163	MORENO VALLEY	199	REEDLEY
128	LANCASTER	164	MORRO BAY	200	RIALTO
129	LASSEN COUNTY	165	MOUNT SHASTA	201	RICHMOND
130	LAWNDALE	166	NATIONAL CITY	202	RIDGECREST
131	LEMOORE	167	NEEDLES	203	RIO DELL
132	LINDSAY	168	NEVADA CITY	204	RIPON
133	LIVE OAK	169	NEVADA COUNTY	205	RIVERBANK
134	LIVINGSTON	170	NEWMAN	206	RIVERSIDE
135	LODI	171	NORCO	207	RIVERSIDE COUNTY
136	LOMA LINDA	172	NOVATO	208	ROLLING HILLS
137	LOMPOC	173	OCEANSIDE	209	ROSEMEAD
138	LONG BEACH	174	OJAI	210	ROSS
139	LOOMIS	175	ONTARIO	211	SACRAMENTO
140	LOS ALAMITOS	176	ORANGE COVE	212	SACRAMENTO COUNTY
141	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	177	ORLAND	213	SALINAS
142	LOS GATOS	178	OROVILLE	214	SAN BERNARDINO
143	LOYALTON	179	OXNARD	215	SAN BRUNO
144	LYNWOOD	180	PACIFIC GROVE	216	SAN DIEGO COUNTY

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary
Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions
When Proposed Developments Include ≥10% Affordability

These 289 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest APR (2019) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
217	SAN DIMAS	253	STOCKTON	289	YUCCA VALLEY
218	SAN FERNANDO	254	SUISUN CITY		
219	SAN JACINTO	255	SUSANVILLE		
220	SAN JOAQUIN	256	TAFT		
221	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY	257	TEHACHAPI		
222	SAN JUAN BAUTISTA	258	TEHAMA		
223	SAN LEANDRO	259	TEHAMA COUNTY		
224	SAN MATEO COUNTY	260	TIBURON		
225	SAN PABLO	261	TORRANCE		
226	SAN RAFAEL	262	TULARE COUNTY		
227	SAND CITY	263	TULELAKE		
228	SANGER	264	TUOLUMNE COUNTY		
229	SANTA CLARITA	265	TURLOCK		
230	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	266	TWENTYNINE PALMS		
231	SANTA MARIA	267	UPLAND		
232	SANTA PAULA	268	VALLEJO		
233	SANTA ROSA	269	VENTURA COUNTY		
234	SANTEE	270	VICTORVILLE		
235	SARATOGA	271	VILLA PARK		
236	SAUSALITO	272	VISALIA		
237	SEASIDE	273	WATERFORD		
238	SEBASTOPOL	274	WEST SACRAMENTO		
239	SELMA	275	WESTLAKE VILLAGE		
240	SHAFTER	276	WESTMORLAND		
241	SHASTA COUNTY	277	WHEATLAND		
242	SHASTA LAKE	278	WHITTIER		
243	SIERRA COUNTY	279	WILLIAMS		
244	SIGNAL HILL	280	WILLITS		
245	SISKIYOU COUNTY	281	WILLOWS		
246	SOLANA BEACH	282	WINDSOR		
247	SOLEDAD	283	WOODLAKE		
248	SONOMA	284	YOLO COUNTY		
249	SONORA	285	YREKA		
250	SOUTH GATE	286	YUBA CITY		
251	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	287	YUBA COUNTY		
252	STANISLAUS COUNTY	288	YUCAIPA		

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary
Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions
When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 50% Affordability

These 221 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
1	AGOURA HILLS	36	COALINGA	71	GLENDALE
2	ALAMEDA	37	COMPTON	72	GLENDORA
3	ALBANY	38	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	73	GOLETA
4	AMADOR COUNTY	39	CORONA	74	GROVER BEACH
5	AMERICAN CANYON	40	COSTA MESA	75	HAWTHORNE
6	ANAHEIM	41	COTATI	76	HEALDSBURG
7	ANDERSON	42	CULVER CITY	77	HERCULES
8	ANTIOCH	43	CUPERTINO	78	HOLLISTER
9	ARTESIA	44	CYPRESS	79	HUGHSON
10	ATASCADERO	45	DALY CITY	80	IMPERIAL BEACH
11	AUBURN	46	DANA POINT	81	INDIAN WELLS
12	BALDWIN PARK	47	DANVILLE	82	INDIO
13	BELLFLOWER	48	DAVIS	83	IONE
14	BELMONT	49	DEL MAR	84	IRVINE
15	BERKELEY	50	DIAMOND BAR	85	JACKSON
16	BIG BEAR LAKE	51	DINUBA	86	KING CITY
17	BREA	52	DIXON	87	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE
18	BRENTWOOD	53	DOWNEY	88	LA HABRA
19	BRISBANE	54	DUBLIN	89	LA MESA
20	BUELLTON	55	EASTVALE	90	LA PALMA
21	BUENA PARK	56	EL DORADO COUNTY	91	LA QUINTA
22	BURLINGAME	57	ELK GROVE	92	LAFAYETTE
23	CALABASAS	58	EMERYVILLE	93	LAGUNA BEACH
24	CAMARILLO	59	ENCINITAS	94	LAGUNA HILLS
25	CAMPBELL	60	FAIRFIELD	95	LAGUNA WOODS
26	CANYON LAKE	61	FOLSOM	96	LAKE FOREST
27	CAPITOLA	62	FONTANA	97	LAKEWOOD
28	CARLSBAD	63	FORT BRAGG	98	LARKSPUR
29	CERRITOS	64	FOWLER	99	LATHROP
30	CHICO	65	FREMONT	100	LINCOLN
31	CHINO	66	FRESNO	101	LIVERMORE
32	CHINO HILLS	67	FULLERTON	102	LOMITA
33	CHULA VISTA	68	GALT	103	LOS ALTOS
34	CLAREMONT	69	GARDENA	104	LOS ALTOS HILLS
35	CLOVIS	70	GILROY	105	LOS ANGELES

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary
Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions
When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 50% Affordability

These 221 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
106	LOS BANOS	141	PIEDMONT	176	SAN MARCOS
107	MALIBU	142	PISMO BEACH	177	SAN MARINO
108	MAMMOTH LAKES	143	PITTSBURG	178	SAN MATEO
109	MANHATTAN BEACH	144	PLACENTIA	179	SAN RAMON
110	MANTECA	145	PLACER COUNTY	180	SANTA BARBARA
111	MARIN COUNTY	146	PLACERVILLE	181	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
112	MARINA	147	PLEASANTON	182	SANTA CLARA
113	MENDOCINO COUNTY	148	PLUMAS COUNTY	183	SANTA CRUZ
114	MERCED	149	PLYMOUTH	184	SANTA FE SPRINGS
115	MILPITAS	150	POINT ARENA	185	SCOTTS VALLEY
116	MISSION VIEJO	151	PORT HUENEME	186	SEAL BEACH
117	MONO COUNTY	152	PORTOLA VALLEY	187	SIERRA MADRE
118	MONROVIA	153	RANCHO CORDOVA	188	SIMI VALLEY
119	MONTEREY COUNTY	154	RANCHO CUCAMONGA	189	SOLANO COUNTY
120	MOORPARK	155	RANCHO MIRAGE	190	SOUTH EL MONTE
121	MORAGA	156	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	191	SOUTH PASADENA
122	MORGAN HILL	157	RANCHO ST. MARGARITA	192	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO
123	MOUNTAIN VIEW	158	REDDING	193	STANTON
124	MURRIETA	159	REDWOOD CITY	194	SUNNYVALE
125	NAPA	160	RIO VISTA	195	SUTTER COUNTY
126	NAPA COUNTY	161	ROCKLIN	196	SUTTER CREEK
127	NEWARK	162	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	197	TEMECULA
128	NORWALK	163	ROSEVILLE	198	TEMPLE CITY
129	OAKDALE	164	SAN ANSELMO	199	THOUSAND OAKS
130	OAKLAND	165	SAN BENITO COUNTY	200	TRACY
131	OAKLEY	166	SAN BUENAVENTURA	201	TRINIDAD
132	ORANGE	167	SAN CARLOS	202	TRINITY COUNTY
133	ORANGE COUNTY	168	SAN CLEMENTE	203	TRUCKEE
134	ORINDA	169	SAN DIEGO	204	TULARE
135	PALM DESERT	170	SAN FRANCISCO	205	TUSTIN
136	PALM SPRINGS	171	SAN GABRIEL	206	UNION CITY
137	PALO ALTO	172	SAN JOSE	207	VACAVILLE
138	PASADENA	173	SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO	208	VERNON
139	PERRIS	174	SAN LUIS OBISPO	209	VISTA
140	PETALUMA	175	SAN LUIS OBISPO CO.	210	WALNUT

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions When Proposed Developments Include \geq 50% Affordability

These 221 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

	JURISDICTION
211	WALNUT CREEK
212	WASCO
213	WATSONVILLE
214	WEED
215	WEST COVINA
216	WESTMINSTER
217	WILDOMAR
218	WINTERS
219	WOODLAND
220	YORBA LINDA
221	YOUNTVILLE